

§ [What's New](#) || [Search](#) || [Legal Archive](#) || [Wog Media](#) || [Cult Media](#) || [CoW®](#) || [Writings](#) || [Fun](#) || [Disclaimer](#) || [Contact](#) §

October 15, 2004

Andrew H. Wilson, Esquire  
Wilson Campilongo LLP  
475 Gate 5 Road  
Sausalito, CA 94965  
U.S.A.

By E-Mail: [ahw@WilsonCampilongo.com](mailto:ahw@WilsonCampilongo.com)  
By Fax: 415-289-7110

Re: Scientology v. Armstrong  
Court of Appeal of the State of California  
First Appellate District, Division Four  
Cases No. A107100 and A107095

Dear Mr. Wilson:

This is a request for your stipulation to a thirty day extension to file my respondent's brief and answer to your writ petition.

Because of your earlier communications I will outline why I am making this request.

It will not be possible for me to complete and file my papers by October 22.

As you will recall, on September 17 I asked you for sixty days extension, or until November 21, to be able to file these papers. I stated then that there are many reasons or good causes for such extension. You did not ask what they were but responded that you, and presumably David Miscavige, et al., would give me thirty days. Obviously I was right, and I needed sixty days, or until November 21.

Sixty days, I think it's very clear, is a very usual request because of what the California Rules of Court states in Rule 15. Service and filing of briefs:

[Quote]

(b) Extensions of time

1

(1) The parties may extend each period under (a) by up to 60 days by filing one or more stipulations in the reviewing court before the brief is due. Stipulations must be signed by and served on all parties. The original signature of at least one party must appear on the stipulation filed in the reviewing court; the signatures of the other parties may be in the form of facsimile copies of the signed signature page of the stipulation. A stipulation is effective on filing. The reviewing court may not shorten a stipulated

extension.

[End Quote]

It is ridiculous and wrong for me to have to request the Court of Appeal for a thirty day extension that you can stipulate to. That is not to say that everything else you and your client have done in this case is not ridiculous and wrong, but this would be, and everything else is not now under consideration.

As you know, I am not a lawyer, and as I've stated many times and is, I believe, obvious, it is neither easy nor quick for me to generate the responses to the legal attacks of you and your client. You and your client can and will say any old thing, but I am and must be very precise in what I write, and my words must be measured. Living as I have had to these past thirteen or so years with a \$50,000 price tag on practically every utterance, naturally I watch very closely. Your client, of course, has a horde of people like yourself to generate the legal attacks, and I have but, as you note in your opening brief and petition, God on my side.

My other ministerial duties, as you know, are vital and extremely time consuming, and the demand of these duties on my time has not decreased at all while I have also been forced to prepare my papers in response to your latest attacks by your brief and petition. In fact, the demand of these duties on my time has increased dramatically over the past month or more, as a direct result of your client's activities. I accept that a percentage of the communicants who approach me for ministration may be covert Scientology ops, but ministering to them takes just as much time as ministering to true communicants. It is, of course, the unlawful goal of your client, and even yourself, to prevent me from performing my ministerial duties altogether.

I have had a trip into the B.C. interior planned for next week for several months and cannot change the time. I also have a trip to Europe and Asia

2

over the two weeks following, which was also arranged before your appeal and petition were filed, and which also cannot be changed.

Your client is in no way prejudiced by agreeing to stipulate to the thirty day extension I am seeking.

Although you state in your writ petition that "following the hearing in this case in which Superior Judge Lynn Duryee refused to enforce both the prior and new contempt sentences and rendered the liquidated damages provision of the contract unenforceable for future breaches, [I] triumphantly announced to the press that [I] now was free to ignore both the settlement agreement and the injunction, and that [I] intended to do so," this is simply untrue. The turning point for me, which I've stated many times in sworn declarations, which have been served on you, was when I left California in January 1997 and moved to Canada. From that time until the present I have been free to ignore both the settlement agreement and the injunction, and from that time until the present I have stated repeatedly that I intended to do so. Thus, from early 1997 until now nothing regarding my being free to

express my religious beliefs, or my expression of those religious beliefs has changed. One more month of nothing changing will not prejudice your client in any way whatsoever.

As I have also stated many times in sworn declarations, what caused me to leave California in January 1997, to move to Canada, and to commence my ignoring of your client's "contract" and the Thomas injunction, was my discovery at that time of a section of Scientology's IRS Form 1023 submission on which the cult's 1993 tax exemption is based, and which viciously black PRs me. This discovery was shocking beyond belief to me, in part because of its disgusting, mendacious content, and in part because you and your client deliberately withheld this document from me in discovery in the Armstrong II through IV litigations. This black PR signaled to me that Miscavige, et al. would stop at nothing, no crime, to silence me, or otherwise dispose of me. This section of black PR from the 1023 response is webbed at:

<http://www.gerryarmstrong.org/50grand/cult/irs/index.html>

Your statements, which you have made in many papers filed in various legal proceedings, that I left California after being found in contempt, or to avoid being jailed, are simply lies. You know that you are lying, and you repeat your lie in your brief and petition:

3

"Neither the Agreement nor Judge Thomas' order and judgment dissuaded Armstrong from his contumacious breaches, and his flight to Canada afforded him the opportunity to avoid jail and continue his simultaneous contractual breaches and violations of the permanent injunction."

"On June 5, 1997, Judge Thomas issued an order of contempt, finding that Armstrong "willfully disobeyed the Order." Exs. Tab 8, [Pg. Nos. 098-100], Order of Contempt. Judge Thomas ordered that Armstrong pay a fine of \$1,000 and be confined in the County Jail for two days. Id. [Pg. No. 100]. Armstrong fled the jurisdiction, and on August 6, 1997, Judge Thomas issued a bench warrant for his arrest. Exs. Tab 9, [Pg. No. 101], Bench Warrant."

As you well know, I left the subject jurisdiction in January 1997. You obtained your order of contempt, without service on me of your order to show cause, months later.

This past week I obtained and webbed another section of the same Form 1023 response your client filed with the IRS to obtain its tax exemption.  
<http://www.gerryarmstrong.org/50grand/cult/irs/csi-prod-1993-11-04-152016-152073.pdf>

This new (to me) section also contains pages of shocking, lying black PR on me, and also was not produced to me during the litigation before Judge Thomas. For the moment, I will only deal with this one sentence from this black PR submission:

"The exception is Armstrong who we truly believe to be psychotic."

This particular evil lie is extremely worrisome, indeed terrifying to me, because it validates and reinforces the conviction that Miscavige will have

me assassinated to keep his ill-gotten tax exemption. And this lie also introduces a whole new defense to what you and your client are trying to do to me judicially. The only way I can prove, which I obviously must do, to the IRS, to other U.S. Government agencies, to other national governments, to the media, to my associates, to my communicants, in fact to every wog on the planet, that I am not psychotic, which I am not, is to communicate to disprove your insane, dangerous, cruel lie? It is obviously also necessary in the process of disproving your lie to demonstrate what a criminal

4

organization and its rotten lawyers are generating this sick black propaganda. My position is that all of my communications, which you have tried to prevent and punish me for over the past thirteen years, prove that I am not psychotic, and that I could not have proven that I am not psychotic without the ability to make, and without having made, all of those communications.

Scientology's declaration to the IRS, and I believe to millions of other people around the world, that I am psychotic, is particularly meaningful, and dangerous, and damaging, and requires the kind of massive, global communications that I have originated, because of the cult's other and also global claims that it is uniquely and professionally qualified to make such a pronouncement. E.g., "As organizations we are the only people on Earth today who can say with any security whether or not man is sane."  
<http://www.carolineletkeman.org/refund/docs/flb-newsltr-2001.html>

The evil intent in this declaration to the IRS that I am psychotic is also shown in Scientology's own "scriptures."  
E.g., "All you have to do is pronounce somebody insane, you see, or something of that sort, or drive him insane or something and he immediately has no rights; he becomes property." L. Ron Hubbard, Philadelphia Doctorate Course.

It is clear that your client and you, in violation of all human norms, have been pronouncing me psychotic and trying to drive me psychotic to destroy my rights, and make me property.

This new defense that this new black PR creates will obviously require additional time to research and adequately present in my brief and opposition to your petition.

Please advise me immediately if you and your client will stipulate to an extension of thirty days for me to file my responsive papers.

I will also fax this letter, and a stipulation for you to sign and fax back.

Yours sincerely,

/


/

5

Gerry Armstrong

#1-45950 Alexander Avenue  
Chilliwack, B.C. V2P 1L5  
Canada  
604-703-1373  
[gerry@gerryarmstrong.org](mailto:gerry@gerryarmstrong.org)

Please fax signed stipulation to 604-795-5234.



### STIPULATION

It is hereby stipulated between Andrew H. Wilson, attorney for appellant and petitioner Church of Scientology International, and Gerry Armstrong, respondent, that the time for respondent to file his respondent's brief in Case No. A107100 and to file his opposition in Case No. A107095, shall be extended thirty days until November 21, 2004.

Dated \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_  
Andrew H. Wilson  
For Church of Scientology International

Dated \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_  
Gerry Armstrong

**Confirmation Report - Memory Send**

Date & Time: Oct-16-2004 01:13pm  
Tel line : +1 604 795 5234  
Machine ID : MAILBOXES ETC 296

Job number : 044  
Date & Time : Oct-16 01:10pm  
To : 14152897110  
Number of pages : 007  
Start time : Oct-16 01:10pm  
End time : Oct-16 01:13pm  
Pages sent : 007  
Status : OK

Job number : 044 \*\*\* SEND SUCCESSFUL \*\*\*

October 15, 2004

Andrew H. Wilson, Esquire  
Wilson Campilongo LLP  
475 Gate 5 Road  
Sausalito, CA 94965  
U.S.A.

By E-Mail: [ahw@WilsonCampilongo.com](mailto:ahw@WilsonCampilongo.com)  
By Fax: 415-289-7110

Re: Scientology v. Armstrong  
Court of Appeal of the State of California  
First Appellate District, Division Four  
Cases No. A107100 and A107095

Dear Mr. Wilson:

This is a request for your stipulation to a thirty day extension to file my respondent's brief and answer to your writ petition.

Because of your earlier communications I will outline why I am making this request.

It will not be possible for me to complete and file my papers by October 22.

As you will recall, on September 17 I asked you for sixty days extension, or until November 21, to be able to file these papers. I stated then that there are many reasons or good causes for such extension. You did not ask what they were but responded that you, and presumably David Miscavige, et al., would give me thirty days. Obviously I was right, and I needed sixty days, or until November 21.

Sixty days, I think it's very clear, is a very usual request because of what the California Rules of Court states in Rule 15. Service and filing of briefs:

[Quote]

(b) Extensions of time